**NNSA DELEGATION NOMINATION**

Delegate Name/Position/Organization:

***Note:*** *Provide latest approved organizational chart (with sufficient granularity), based on approved staffing allocations*

Delegations Proposed:

***Note:***

1. *Include any restrictions and conditions (e.g., delegate would approve only revisions to existing DSAs).*
2. *Indicate if CTA concurrence is required.*

Delegate’s Education and Experience/Expertise:

***Note:***

1. *List technical or other degrees, professional licenses, and relevant certificates.*
2. *Include summary of years of relevant experience/expertise.*
3. *Attach biography.*

Qualification:

***Note:*** *Provide certificates or proof of STSM and NELT.*

Compensatory Measures / Corrective Actions:

***Note:*** *If compensatory measures are necessary, indicate how compensatory measures will be implemented and documented (see NNSASD 450.2-1A, Appendix A for additional info).*

[EXAMPLE]

Until such time that [candidate] completes the NELT/STSM qualification, the delegated authorities will be exercised with documented concurrence from [designated individual, name/title], who meets the qualifications for individuals delegated nuclear safety authorities.

[designated senior manager, name/title] will serve as an independent review, any disagreements related to these delegated authorities will be presented to the NA-ESH Cognizant Secretarial Officer for resolution.

Upon completion of NELT/STSM qualification by [candidate] the documented evidence will provide to NA-ESH (NA-ESH will then issue a new delegation to [delegate]).

Organization’s Capability/Capacity:

| **Authority** | **Grade** | **Supporting Documentation** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Safety Design BasisSafety BasisP&TStartup/Restart | Exceeds Expectations | *A thorough summary affirmed (evidence) from the Chief of Defense Nuclear Safety (CDNS) Biennial Review (BR), self-assessment (SA), or independent assessment(s) that the site capabilities/ capacities exceed expectations.* |
| Meets Expectations | *A short narrative affirmed (evidence) from the CDNS BR, SA, or independent assessment(s) that the site capabilities/capacities meet expectations.* |
| Needs Improvement | *Citation(s) from the CDNS BR, SA, or independent assessment(s) providing basis for needs improvement.**Include planned improvements to meet expectations.* |
| Does Not Meet Expectations | *Citation(s) from the CDNS BR, SA, or independent assessment(s) providing basis for does not meet expectation.**Include planned improvements to meet expectations.* |

***Note:*** *Provide the following:*

1. *Latest eTQP data and status of TQP qualifications for key functional area(s) supporting the delegated authority.*
2. *Status of corrective actions for latest CDNS BR, SA, or independent assessment(s).*

Other Conditions to Justify Delegation:

*Provide status of corrective actions associated with key functional areas (e.g., safety basis, radiation protection, conduct of operations, criticality, fire protection) for supporting documentation for adequacy of the organizational capability/capacity.*